

## RPW233 Emerging Media in PTW

---

### Evaluation: InfoGraphic

The checklists indicate project elements where your submission meets expectations, and if applicable, where it still could benefit from further development or continued refinement. (The key to the evaluative comments is included below the checklist.) Your score by category appears in the Project Evaluation to the right.

#### Evaluation Criteria: Content

- eval** Memo is framed properly, provides appropriate context & justification for the submission, & requests appropriate action regarding the project.
- eval** Title panel establishes the topic and framework for the infographic.
- eval** Content panels each contribute data-driven information about the infographic topic that is visually driven & effectively crafted. Collectively, the content panels tell a meaningful story.
- eval** Visual content effectively communicate through realistic, concrete or metaphorical, abstract representation.
- eval** Citation panel provides detail necessary to trace the data implemented in infographic.
- eval** Content is well organized.

#### Evaluation Criteria: Design & Delivery

- eval** Memo meets format/layout expectations for the genre.
- eval** InfoGraphic presented in a professional page design that effectively coordinates textual & visual content.
- eval** InfoGraphic meets the design standards for the genre.

### Project Evaluation

Total Points (200 possible)	score
Content (80 points possible)	score
Design (40 points possible)	score
Professionalism (40 points possible)	score
Revision (40 points possible)	score

#### Evaluation Criteria: Professionalism

- eval** Submission includes the required, properly labeled files in the appropriate formats & posted to the designated location(s).
- eval** Memo demonstrates appropriate professionalism & attention to detail.
- eval** InfoGraphic content demonstrate appropriate professionalism & attention to detail.
- eval** Written content is grammatically sound, properly punctuated.
- eval** Written content are stylistically appropriate (economical, direct), & utilize audience-appropriate terminology.

#### Evaluation Criteria: Revision

- eval** Final submission represents continued, effective development of content & refinement of design.

---

#### Evaluation Criteria Key

- yes** evident and at least generally successful
- nw** evident, but needs work
- no** not evident, or not generally successful
- na** does not apply to this submission